1 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್ ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಮಲೆನಾಡು ಸಂಬಂದವಾಗಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—We have got about 9,000 acres and we will certainly include the malnad areas where it may be needed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಏತಕ್ಕೆಂದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಮಳೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಬೀಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಭೂಮಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಸಾರವೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೊಚ್ಚಿಹೋಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ ಎನ್ನುವ ವಿಷಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಯೇ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—That is true. It is a very important problem. *Soil conservation* will have to be taken up by this Board and this is now engaging the attention of the Board.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ರಚಿತವಾಗಿರ ತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಆ ಬೋರ್ಡು ಈ ಭೂಮಿಯ ಸಾರವನ್ನು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಯಾ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಿಗೇ ಹೋಗಿ ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿ ನೋಡಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಂದ ವರದಿಗಳನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು, ಅವುಗಳ ಆಧಾರದಮೇರೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಕಂಡು ಹಿಡಿಯುತ್ತಾರೋ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—The problem is very well known. Whether in malnad area or in dry area, all that is necessary for us is to work out schemes by which we could tackle these problems. It is not necessary for any of us to go round, because we are seeing it almost every day and the members of this Committee are well acquainted with the problems in terms of soil conservation.

QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY.

(But not taken up.)

Power for Pumps in Bagepalli and Gudibanda Taluks.

Q.—284. Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY (Bagepalli-Gudibanda).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they have given any power for lift irrigation in Bagepalli and Gudibanda taluks in the year 1954-55 ; if not given, the reasons therefor ;

(*b*) how many applications were received and how many sanctioned ?

A.—Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*)—

	No of applications received during the year.	No. of applications sanctioned during the year (including applications made in previous years).
Bagepalli Taluk	66.	13
Gudibanda ,,	8.	19

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before discussion on the Budget is resumed, I want to bring this fact to the notice of the Hon'ble Members. It becomes very necessary, though it is not a pleasant task for me, to restrict the time at least from to-day because, if we continue the debate till 6 o'clock to-day and to-morrow, we have got only 9 hours at our disposal. The Treasury Bench will take at least two hours for reply. Therefore only seven hours are left at the disposal of the Hon'ble Members. I seek the help of the House at this juncture guide at least in rationing out the time. I invite proposals from the Hon'ble Members.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ (ಹುಲಿಯೂರು ದುರ್ಗ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈಗ ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿರುವ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳು ಈ ದಿವಸ ಮತ್ತು ನಾಳೆ ಎರಡು ದಿವಸಗಳೂ ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ಆರು ಘಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೂ ನಡೆಯಬೇಕೆಂದಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನೂ ಈಗ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ಏಳು ಘಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೂ ಸಭೆ ನಡೆಯುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಂದು ನಾನು ಸೂಚಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have no objection if Hon'ble Members are prepared to sit till seven or eight. I leave it to the House. I want to know the sense of the House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಈ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಏಳು ಘಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೂ ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಆಗ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಎರಡು ಘಂಟೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಒಂಭತ್ತು ಘಂಟೆಗಳ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದಂತಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆಗ ತಾವು ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೂ ಹದಿನೈದು ನಿಮಿಷಗಳಂತೆ ಕಾಲವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಧರಗೊಳಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಾಕು.

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.)

ಹಾಗೂ ನದನ್ಯರಿಂದ ಅಂಥ ವಿಶೇಷ ಸಂದರ್ಭದ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಗಳೇನಾದರೂ ಬಂದರೆ ಆ ಅವಧಿಯನ್ನು ಇನ್ನೂ ಐದು ನಿಮಿಷ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಾಕಾಗಬಹುದೆಂದು ನಾನು ಸೂಚಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ (ಮಾಗಡಿ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ತಾವು ಈ ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ ಮೇಲಿನ ಭಾಷಣಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೋಮವಾರದ ವರೆಗೂ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ನಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದೇ ಸಮನಾಗಿ ಆರು-ಏಳು ಘಂಟೆಗಳವರೆಗೆ ಕುಳಿತರೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಕೆಡುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಸೋಮವಾರದವರೆಗೂ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಮಾಧವಾಚಾರ್ (ಭದ್ರಾವತಿ).—ಈ ದಿವಸ ನಮ್ಮ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ವಸ್ತುಪದರ್ಶನದ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭೋತ್ಸವವು ಕೊಡಗಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಂದ ನಡೆಸಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತದೆ. ಆಗ್ಗೆ ನಾವೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿರಬೇಕು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ದಿವಸ ಈ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ಐದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಗಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಾಳೆ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ಏಳು ಘಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೂ ತಾವು ನಡೆಸಬಹುದೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There will be no time at the disposal of the Hon'ble Members It is for the benefit of the Hon'ble Members I am seeking their advice.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾಧವಾಚಾರ್.—ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬ ನದನ್ಯರಿಗೂ ಹದಿನೈದು ನಿಮಿಷಗಳವರೆಗೆ ಕಾಲ ನಿರ್ಧರ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು.

Sri M. PALANIYAPPAN (Ulsoor).—Such of those members as have not spoken on the Rajapramukh's Address may be given preference.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಅನಂತರಾಮನ್ (ಚಾಮರಾಜಪೇಟೆ).—ನಾವು ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ಐದು ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ಎದ್ದು, ರಾತ್ರಿ ಸೆಷ್ಷ್ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ತಾವು ಮಾಡಬಹುದು.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—I have got one suggestion. I think if all the members agree, we can meet in the morning to-morrow from 8-30 to 11-30 and then with some interval we can meet at 12-30 or 1 and go on till 6.

Regarding time-limit, I would suggest that it is fixed at the minimum of half an hour, subject to discretion being given to the Chair to extend the time if any speech brings out points of outstanding importance.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We cannot fix the time at half an hour for each member but only 15 to 20 minutes, because there are so many members willing to speak.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—If the time is wanting, I would suggest that Budget discussion may be continued on Saturday also in the morning session and the business fixed for that day according to the programme may be taken up in the afternoon of that day. So to-morrow we may sit both in the morning and afternoon and again on Saturday morning for continuing the discussion on the Budget.

Mr. SPEAKER.—So far as Saturday is concerned, it will be according to the schedule that is fixed.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—On Saturday also we can have two sittings—in the morning for Budget discussion and in the afternoon for the work according to the schedule.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Government also must agree. The whole Secretariat also must work.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I am placing this proposal in view of the very limited time at our disposal. I have been pressing even in the previous years that the time allotted for Budget discussion is very inadequate and I was suggesting that at least 5 days must be set apart. It so happens that only three days are set apart during this session. So, to make it up, we have no objection to overwork, sitting both morning and afternoon to-morrow and on Saturday morning also and we can take up regular business included in the agenda on Saturday afternoon.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Leader of the House).—I have already made it clear. It is not in consonance with the traditions to raise these points again and again. I have once for all said that any adjustment or re-adjustment has to take place by mutual discussion with you. I am not happy to see that these off-hand suggestions are being made every day at every hour.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—I may be permitted to state that these are contingencies which can never be foreseen and it is not the mistake of the members and I have been representing on behalf of all the members that the time allotted for Budget discussion is very inadequate.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—This is another inaccuracy that he is taking the responsibility of representing all the members.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—If not all the members, at least most of the members.

Mr. SPEAKER.—So far as to-morrow is concerned, in consultation with the Leader of the House, we can meet to-morrow morning and afternoon also, but the Budget discussion will not continue on Saturday morning because it will be most inconvenient.

Misreporting of Proceedings by a Daily.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—Before the discussion on the Budget commences to-day, I wanted to bring to your kind notice about the mis-reporting in a Paper about yesterday's proceedings. "Deccan Herald" on 10th March 1955 has quoted like this in its comments under what is called "By Our Galleryman":

> "Minister H. Siddaveerappa: The total Central deficit is Rs. 340 crores, Sir!
>
> Mr. Imam raised his voice to expose the Minister's ignorance and begged him not to confuse the overall deficit with the actual for the budget year."

* * *

I just wanted to bring to your kind notice about the budget speech of the Finance Minister of the Government of India. I have got the original with me. There in para 51 in Part B it is stated like this:

> "I made mention earlier that the deficit on revenue account in the coming year was estimated at 30·17 crores and the overall deficit at 340 crores."

What is reported by your official Reporter is also to this effect:

> "Sri H. Siddaveerappa.—The real deficit of the Central Budget is in the order of Rs. 340 crores.
>
> "Sri J. Mohamed Imam.—That is for the current year and not for the next year. The Central Government have got huge resources. That is for the current year which has to be seen, but for the year 1955-56 it is only Rs. 30 crores."

The point involved is this. There is a deliberate statement made by this Paper with a view to ridicule a member of this House, stating that the Member exhibited his ignorance on the floor of the House. I don't claim to know everything, but this much I know, when I made this statement on the floor of this House, I had read the speech, I knew what was contained in the speech of the Hon'ble the Finance Minister of the Government of India. What was stated by me was not different from what is stated here. That statement is made by that Paper with a deliberate design to ridicule the Treasury Bench. What is more, this is not the first time—I am prompted to infer this from what this Paper once reported about my not knowing an English word. This Paper took the role of an English Professor and said I do not know how to pronounce a particular word when I used that word on another occasion 'adventitious'. I have not here with me as to how to pronounce that word. I pronounced it correctly and that Paper went on stating I do not know how to pronounce that word and assumed the role of an English Professor. I feel it is a deliberate design tried persistently not only to ridicule members of the Treasury Bench, but even you, Sir, do not seem to be outside the orbit of this Paper. I bring to your notice with all humility what was reported in the issue of this Paper dated 8th March 1955: 'By Our Galleryman' under the heading "*Speaker ignores 'substance'.*" This is what the Paper writes.

> "The Speaker, who took only seven minutes to read out his prepared ruling, was in such great hurry that he pronounced the word "presented" as "pregented," opinion as "opnion" and "prima facie" as "prima fashia." Nevertheless, the substance was unaffected."

I may humbly bring to your notice the guidance given by our Parliament in this small pamphlet—"Privileges of the House"—a few points for the guidance of the Press representatives visiting the Parliament House. With